## Randomness and Computation: Some Prime Examples



Great Theoretical Ideas In Computer Science



## Checking Our Work

Suppose we want to check  $p(x) q(x) = r(x)$ , where p, q and r are three polynomials.  $(x-1)(x^3+x^2+x+1) = x^4-1$ 

If the polynomials are long, this requires n <sup>2</sup> mults by elementary school algorithms -- or can do faster with fancy techniques like the Fast Fourier transform.

Can we check if  $p(x) q(x) = r(x)$  more efficiently?

## Great Idea: Evaluating on Random Inputs

Let  $f(x) = p(x) q(x) - r(x)$ . Is f zero?

Idea: Evaluate f on a *random* input z.

If we get  $f(z) = 0$ , this is evidence that  $f$ is zero everywhere.

*If f(x) is a degree 2n polynomial, it can only have 2n roots. We're unlikely to guess one of these by chance!*

## Equality checking by random evaluation

- 1. Fix a sample space  $S = \{z_1, z_2, ..., z_m\}$ with arbitrary points  $z_i$ , for m=2n/d .
- 2. Select random z from S with probability 1/m.
- 3. Evaluate  $f(z) = p(z) q(z) r(z)$
- 4. If  $f(z) = 0$ , output "equal" otherwise output "not equal"

# Equality checking by random evaluation

What is the probability the algorithm outputs "not equal" when in fact  $f = 0$ ?

Zero!

If  $p(x)q(x) = r(x)$ , always correct!

# Equality checking by random evaluation

What is the probability the algorithm outputs "equal" when in fact  $f \neq 0$ ?

Let  $A = \{z \mid z$  is a root of f}.

Recall that  $|A| \leq$  degree of  $f \leq 2n$ . Therefore:  $P(A) \le 2n/m = d$ . We can choose d to be small.

#### Equality checking by random evaluation  $2n/s$

 $2.0<sup>o</sup>$ 

By repeating this procedure k times, we are "fooled" by the event

 $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = ... = f(z_k) = 0$ when actually  $f(x) \neq 0$ 

with probability no bigger than  $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{100}$  $P(A) \leq (2n/m)^k = d^k$ 

Wow! That idea could be used for testing equality of lots of different types of "functions"!





### What does "evaluate" mean?

Just evaluate the "function" C on a random bit vector r by taking the matrix-vector product  $C \times r$ 

randonne bit vector r  $AB = C$  $ABC = Cr?$ 1 1 0 3 -4 8 0 0 7 0 0 2 9 9 = 1 13 5 -6 0 -7 7 1 6 21 9 310

$$
(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{C})\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}
$$

So to test if  $AB = C$  we compute  $x = Br$ ,  $y = Ax (= Abr)$ , and  $z = Cr$ 

If  $y = z$ , we take this as evidence that the calculation was correct.

The amount of work is only  $O(n^2)$ .

Claim: If  $AB \neq C$  and r is a random nbit vector, then  $Pr(ABr = Cr) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### Claim: If  $AB \neq C$  and r is a random n-bit vector, then  $Pr(ABr = Cr) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

So, if a complicated, fancy algorithm is used to compute AB in time O(n2.236), it can be efficiently checked with only O(n<sup>2</sup>) extra work, using randomness!



# "Random Fingerprinting"  $\lceil$  Karp - Rabin]

Find a small random "fingerprint" of a large object.

- the value f(z) of a polynomial at a point z
- the value Cr at a random bit vector r

This fingerprint captures the essential information about the larger object: if two large objects are different, their fingerprints usually are different!

### Earth has huge file X that she transferred to Moon. Moon gets Y.





Legendre

 $\sum$ et  $\pi(n)$  be the number of primes between 1 and n. I wonder how fast  $\pi(n)$  grows?

> Conjecture [1790s]:  $\lim \frac{\pi(n)}{n} = 1$ *n*→∞ *n* / **<u>ln** *n*</u>  $\pi$  $\mathfrak{n}$ =





### Their estimates





De la Vallée Poussin

Two independent proofs of the Prime Density Theorem [1896]:

 $\lim \frac{\pi(n)}{-1}$ *n*→∞ *n* / In *n*  $\pi$  $n$ =

J-S Hadamard

### The Prime Density Theorem

This theorem remains one of the celebrated achievements of number theory.

In fact, an even sharper conjecture remains one of the great open problems of mathematics!



Slightly easier to show  $\pi(n)/n \ge 1/(2 \log n)$ .  $\sim 00$ H of primes between 1 2 m is at least une 8

Random logn bit number is a random number from 1..n

 $\pi(n)$  / n  $\geq$  1/2logn means that a random logn-bit number has at least a 1/2logn chance of being prime.



Random k bit number is a random number from 1..2k

 $\pi(2^k)$  / 2k  $\geq 1/2k$ means that a random k-bit number has at least a 1/2k chance of being prime.

## Really useful fact

A random k-bit number has at least a 1/2k chance of being prime.

So if we pick 2k random k-bit numbers the expected number of primes on the list is at least 1

Many modern cryptosystems (e.g., RSA) include the instructions:

"Pick a random n-bit prime."

How can this be done efficiently?

"Pick a random n-bit prime."

Strategy: 1) Generate random n-bit numbers 2) Test each one for primality

"Pick a random n-bit prime."

1)Generate kn random n-bit numbers Each trial has a  $\geq 1/2$ n chance of being prime.  $k=10$ Pr[ all kn trials yield composites ] ≤ (1-1/2n)kn = (1-1/2n)2n \* k/2 ≤ 1/ek/2

"Pick a random n-bit prime."

Strategy: 1) Generate random n-bit numbers 2) Test each one for primality

For 1000-bit primes, if we try out 10000 random 1000-bit numbers, chance of failing  $\leq e^{-5}$ 

### Moral of the story

Picking a random prime is "almost as easy as" picking a random number.

(Provided we can check for primality. More on this later.)

### Earth has huge file X that she transferred to Moon. Moon gets Y.



#### Are X and Y the same n-bit numbers?



# Why is this any good?

Easy case: If  $X = Y$ , then  $X = Y$  (mod p)

## Why is this any good?

Harder case: arder case:<br>What if  $X \neq Y$ ? We mess up if p | (X-Y).  $\Bigg| \begin{array}{cc} x \mod p \\ = & y \mod p \end{array}$ Define  $Z = (X-Y)$ . To mess up, p must divide Z.  $P\left[(X-Y)\right]$ 

Z is an n-bit number.  $\Rightarrow$  Z is at most 2<sup>n</sup>.  $(du).$ 

 $Z = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_4$ But each prime  $\geq 2$ . Hence Z has at most n prime divisors. ? 2.2. 3

### Almost there…

Z has at most n prime divisors.

How many 2logn-bit primes?

A random k-bit number has at least a 1/2k chance of being prime.

 $\Rightarrow$  at least 2<sup>2logn</sup>/(2\*2logn) = n<sup>2</sup>/(4logn) >> 2n primes.

Only (at most) half of them divide Z.

=> make mestale unk pol < 1/2.

Theorem: Let X and Y be distinct n-bit numbers. Let p be a random 2logn-bit prime.

> Then  $Proof [X = Y mod p] < 1/2$

Earth-Moon protocol makes mistake with probability at most 1/2!

#### Are X and Y the same n-bit numbers?



#### Exponentially smaller error probability

If X=Y, always accept.

If  $X \neq Y$ , Prob  $[X = Y \mod P_i$  for all i]  $\leq (1/2)^k$ 

"Pick a random n-bit prime."

Strategy: 1) Generate random n-bit numbers 2) Test each one for primality

How can we test primality efficiently?

#### Primality Testing: Trial Division On Input n

#### Trial division up to  $\sqrt{n}$

for  $k = 2$  to  $\sqrt{n}$  do if *k* |*n* then return "*n* is not prime" otherwise return "*n* is prime"

about  $\sqrt{n}$  divisions

Trial division performs  $\sqrt{n}$  divisions on input n.

Is that efficient?

For a 1000-bit number, this will take about 2<sup>500</sup> operations.

That's not very efficient at all!!!





Do the primes have a fast decision algorithm?



Euclid gave us a fast GCD algorithm.

Surely, he tried to give a faster primality test than trial division.

But Euclid, Euler, and Gauss all failed!

But so many cryptosystems, like RSA and PGP, use fast primality testing as part of their subroutine to generate a random n-bit prime!

What is the fast primality testing algorithm that they use?



There are fast *randomized* algorithms to do primality testing.

Strangely, by allowing our computational model an extra instruction for flipping a fair coin, we seem to be able to compute some things faster!



If n is composite, what would be a certificate of compositeness for n?

#### A non-trivial factor of n.

But… even using randomness, no one knows how to find a factor quickly.

We will use a *different* certificate of compositeness that does not require factoring.



When working modulo prime p, for any  $a \ne 0$ ,  $a^{(p-1)/2} = \frac{1}{2}$ .

 $X^2 = 1$  mod p has at most 2 roots. 1 and -1 are roots, so it has no others.



"Euler Certificate" Of Compositeness

When working modulo a prime p, for any  $a \neq 0$ ,  $a^{(p-1)/2} = 0.1$ .

We say that a is a certificate of compositeness for n, if  $a \ne 0$  and  $a^{(n-1)/2} \ne 91$ .

Clearly, if we find a certificate of compositeness for n, we know that n is composite.

"Euler Certificates" Of Compositeness

 $EC_n = \{ a \ 2 \ Z_n^* \mid a^{(n-1)/2} \neq 91 \}$ NOT-E $C_n = \{ a \ 2 \ Z_n^* \ | \ a^{(n-1)/2} = \ $1 \}$ 

> If NOT-E $C_n \neq Z^*$  then EC<sub>n</sub> is at least half of  $Z^*$ <sub>n</sub>

In other words, if  $\mathsf{E} \mathsf{C}_\mathsf{n}$  is not empty, then  $EC_n$  contains <u>at least half</u> of  $Z_n^*$ . "Euler Certificates" Of Compositeness

 $EC_n = \{ a \ 2 Z_n^* \mid a^{(n-1)/2} \neq 91 \}$ 

NOT-E $C_n = \{ a \ 2 \ Z_n^* \ | \ a^{(n-1)/2} = \ $1 \}$ 

If NOT-E $C_n \neq Z^*$  then EC<sub>n</sub> is at least half of  $Z^*$ <sub>n</sub>

In other words, if  $\mathsf{E} \mathsf{C}_\mathsf{n}$  is not empty, then  $EC_n$  contains <u>at least half</u> of  $Z_n^*$ .

# Randomized Primality Test

Let's suppose that  $\mathsf{E} \mathcal{C}_\mathsf{n}$  contains at least half the elements of  $\mathsf{Z}^\star{}_\mathsf{n}.$ 

Randomized Test:

For  $i = 1$  to  $k$ : Pick random  $a_i$  2 [2 .. n-1]; **If**  $GCD(a_i, n) \neq 1$ **, Halt with "Composite";** If  $a_i^{(n-1)/2} \neq 81$  , Halt with "Composite";

> Halt with "I think n is prime. I am only wrong  $(\frac{1}{2})^k$  fraction of times I think that n is prime."

#### Is EC<sub>n</sub> non-empty for all primes n?  $E\mathcal{E}_{n} = \{ae\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*} | a^{n\frac{1}{2}} \neq \pm 1\}$ Unfortunately, no.

Certain numbers *masquerade* as primes.

A Carmichael number is a number n such that  $a^{n-1}$  = 1 (mod n) for all numbers a with  $gcd(a,n)=1$ .

Example:  $n = 561 = 3*11*17$  (the smallest Carmichael number)  $1105 = 5*13*17$  $1729 = 7*13*19$ 

And there are many of them. For sufficiently large m, there are at least m2/7 Carmichael numbers between 1 and m.

## The saving grace

The randomized test fails only for Carmichael numbers.

But, there is an efficient way to test for Carmichael numbers.

Which gives an efficient algorithm for primality.

# Randomized Primality Test

Let's suppose that  $\mathsf{E} \mathcal{C}_\mathsf{n}$  contains at least half the elements of  $\mathsf{Z}^\star{}_\mathsf{n}.$ 

Randomized Test:

For  $i = 1$  to  $k$ : Pick random  $a_i$  2 [2 .. n-1]; **If**  $GCD(a_i, n) \neq 1$ **, Halt with "Composite";** If  $a_i^{(n-1)/2} \neq \S1$ , Halt with "Composite";

If n is Carmichael, Halt with "Composite"

Halt with "I think n is prime. I am only wrong  $(\frac{1}{2})^k$  fraction of times I think that n is prime."

## Randomized Algorithms

The test we outlined made one-sided error: It never makes an error when it thinks n is composite. It could just be unlucky when it thinks n is prime.

Another one-sided algorithm that never makes a mistake when it thinks n is prime.

Yet another algorithm makes 2-sided error. Sometimes it is mistaken when it thinks n is prime, sometimes it is mistaken when it thinks n is composite.

n prime means half of a's satisfy  $a^{(n-1)/2} = -1 \mod n$ 

If n is prime, then  $Z_n^*$  has a generator g. Then  $q^{(n-1)/2} = -1$  mod n.

A random a2  $Z_n^*$  is given by g<sup>r</sup> for uniformly distributed r.

> Half the time, r is odd:  $(g<sup>r</sup>)^{(n-1)/2}$  = -1 mod n

### Another Randomized Primality Test

Suppose n is not even, nor is it the power of a number.

Randomized Test:

For  $i = 1$  to  $k$ :

Pick random  $a_i$  2  $[2 \dots n-1]$ ; **If**  $GCD(a_i, n) \neq 1$ **, Halt with "Composite"; If**  $a_i^{(n-1)/2} \neq \S1$ , Halt with "Composite";

If all k values of  $a_i^{(n-1)/2} = +1$ , Halt with "I think n is composite. I am only wrong  $(\frac{1}{2})^k$  fraction of the times."

Halt with "I think n is prime. I am only wrong  $(\frac{1}{2})^k$  fraction of times I think that n is prime."

We can prove that if n is an odd composite, not a power, and there is some a such that  $a^{(n-1)/2} = -1$ , then  $EC_n \neq$  ;.

> Hence, EC<sub>n</sub> is at least a half fraction of  $Z^*_{n}$ .

This algorithm makes 2-sided error. Sometimes it is mistaken when it thinks n is prime, sometimes it is mistaken when it thinks n is composite.

## Many Randomized Tests







Miller-Rabin test Solovay-Strassen test

In 2002, Agrawal, Saxena, and Kayal (AKS) gave a deterministic primality test that runs in time O((logn)<sup>12</sup>).

This was the first *deterministic* polynomial-time algorithm that didn't depend on some *unproven conjecture*, like the Riemann Hypothesis!

"Pick a random n-bit prime."

Strategy: 1) Generate random n-bit numbers 2) Do fast randomized test for primality

(n log nlogle))

Primality Testing Versus Factoring

Primality has a fast randomized algorithm.

Factoring is not known to have a fast algorithm.

In fact, after thousands of years of research, the fastest randomized algorithm takes  $exp(O(n log n log n))$ <sup>1/3</sup>) operations on numbers of length n. With great effort, we can currently factor 200 digit numbers.



#### Google: RSA Challenge Numbers

#### **Miller-Rabin test**

**The idea is to use a "converse" of Fermat's Theorem. We know that:**

$$
a^{n-1}\equiv_n 1
$$

 **for any prime n and any a in [2, n-1]. What if we try this for some number a and it fails. Then we know that n is NOT prime. Miller-Rabin is based on this idea.**

**Say we write n-1 as d \*2 <sup>s</sup>where d is odd. Consider the following sequence of numbers mod n:**

$$
a^d
$$
,  $a^{2d}$ ,  $a^{4d}$ ...  $a^{d*2^{(s-1)}}$ ,  $a^{d*2^s} = a^{n-1} =_n 1$ 

**Each element is the square of the previous one.**

$$
a^d
$$
,  $a^{2d}$ ,  $a^{4d}$ ...  $a^{d*2^{(s-1)}}$ ,  $a^{d*2^s} = a^{n-1} =_n 1$ 

**If n is prime, then at some point the sequence hits 1 and stays there from then on.**

**The interesting point is: what is the number right before the first 1. If n is prime this MUST BE n-1.**

#### **Miller-Rabin Test**

 **To test a number n, we pick a random a and generate the above sequence. If the sequence does not hit 1, then n is composite. If there's an element before the first 1 and it's not n-1, then n is composite.**

 **Otherwise n is "probably prime".**

#### **Miller-Rabin Analysis**

**If n is composite, then with a random a, the Miller-Rabin algorithm says "composite" with probability at least 3/4 .**

**So if we run the test 30 times and it never says "composite" then n is prime with "probability" 1-2 -60**

**In other words it's more likely that you'll win the lottery three days in a row than that this is giving a wrong answer.**

 **i.e. not bloody likely.**

**This ocaml implementation of the Miller-Rabin test does not pick random random witnesses, but rather uses 2, 3, 5, and 7. It's guaranteed to work up to about 2 billion. See the accompanying file big\_number.ml for a full high precision implementation of Miller-Rabin with random witnesses.**

```
let miller rabin n =if n \leq 10 then (n = 2 or n = 3 or n = 5 or n = 7) else
if (n mod 2=0 or n mod 3=0 or n mod 5=0 or n mod 7=0) then false else
  let rec remove_twos m =let h = m/2 in
      if (h+h < m) then (0,m) else
        let (s,d) = remove_twos h in (s+1,d)in
  let (s,d) = remove_twos (n-1) in (* so d*2 \wedge s = n-1 *let is_witness_to_compositeness a =\Boxlet x = powermod a d n inif x=1 or x=(n-1) then false else
        let rec loop x =(* at this point x = a \land (d * 2 \land r) mod n *)
          if x=1 or r=s then true else
            if x = (n-1) then false else
              loop ((x*x) \mod n) (r+1)in loop ((x*x) \mod n) 1
  in
    if (is_witness_to_compositeness 2) then false
    else if (is_witness_to_compositeness 3) then false
    else if (is_witness_to_compositeness 5) then false
    else if (is_witness_to_compositeness 7) then false
    else true
```